

U.S. v. Pedro Pacheco-Rodriguez 17-356 (JAG), 19-604 (PAD)

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

v.

PEDRO J. PACHECO-RODRIGUEZ,
Defendant.

**CRIM. NO. 17-356 (JAG)
19-604 (PAD)**

**PLEA AND FORFEITURE AGREEMENT
Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(A)-(B))**

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

P.P.A.
The United States of America, Defendant, Pedro J. Pacheco-Rodriguez and Defendant's counsel, Jose R. Gaztambide-Aneses, Esq., pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11, state that they have reached a Plea Agreement, the terms and conditions of which are as follows:

1. Charges to which Defendant will Plead Guilty

Count One in case 17-356 (JAG), states that on or about June 9, 2017, Pedro Juan Pacheco-Rodriguez and another person, aiding and abetting each other, did knowingly and unlawfully possess a firearm, as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(3), that is a Rock River Arms 5.56/.223 caliber AR rifle with an obliterated serial number, in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime for which they be prosecuted in a Court of the United States, that is possession with intent to distribute controlled substances in violation of 21

U.S. v. Pedro Pacheco-Rodriguez 17-356 (JAG), 19-604 (PAD)

U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). All in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1)(A) and 2.

P.P.A.
Count One of the Indictment in case 19-604 (PAD) charges, in sum and substance, that from at least in or about the year 2013, and continuing up to and until the return of the instant Indictment, in the Municipality of Ponce, in the District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this Court, Pedro Pacheco-Rodriguez and other persons, did knowingly and intentionally, combine, conspire, and agree with each other and with diverse other persons known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to commit an offense against the United States, that is, to knowingly and intentionally distribute controlled substances, to wit: one (1) kilogram or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of heroin, a Schedule I Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; two hundred and eighty (280) grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base (crack), a Schedule II Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; five (5) kilograms or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, a Schedule II, Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; one hundred (100) Kilograms or more of marijuana, a Schedule I, Controlled Substance; all within one thousand (1,000) feet of the real property comprising the Jose N. Gandara and the Hogares de Portugues Public Housing Projects, a housing facility owned by a public housing authority. All in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), 846, and 860.

U.S. v. Pedro Pacheco-Rodriguez 17-356 (JAG), 19-604 (PAD)

1. Maximum Penalties

The maximum statutory penalty for the offense charged in Count One of the Indictment in case 17-356 (JAG) is a term of imprisonment of not less than five years and not more than life pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1)(A)(i); a fine not to exceed two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000.00) pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3571(b)(3); a term of supervised release of no more than five (5) years pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3583(b)(1); and a Special Monetary Assessment of one hundred dollars (\$100.00), pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3013(a)(2)(A).

P.P.B.
The maximum statutory penalty for the offense charged in Count One of the Indictment in case 19-604 (PAD), is a term of imprisonment of not less than 20 years and up to 2 terms of life in prison; a fine not to exceed twenty million dollars; and a supervised release term of not less than ten years, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(b)(1)(A), 846, and 860.

However, based on the stipulated and agreed charges and amount of narcotics possessed by the defendant, that is, less than 50 grams of cocaine, the defendant faces a minimum term of imprisonment of one year and a maximum term of imprisonment of 40 years, a fine not to exceed two million dollars, and a term of supervised release of at least 6 years in addition to any term of incarceration, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(b)(1)(C), 846 and 860.

2. Sentencing Guidelines Applicability

Defendant understands that the sentence will be imposed by the Court in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §§ 3551-86, and the United States Sentencing Guidelines (hereinafter "Guidelines"), which are advisory pursuant to the United States Supreme Court decision in *United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220 (2005). Further, Defendant acknowledges that parole has been abolished, and that the imposition of Defendant's sentence may not be suspended.

3. Special Monetary Assessment

Defendant agrees to pay a special monetary assessment ("SMA") of one hundred dollars (\$100.00) per count of conviction. The SMA will be deposited in the Crime Victim Fund, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3013 (a)(2)(A).


4. Fines and Restitution

The Court may, pursuant to Section 5E1.2 of the Guidelines order Defendant to pay a fine. The Court may also impose restitution. Defendant agrees to execute and make available, prior to sentencing, a standardized financial statement (OBD Form 500). The United States will advocate on behalf of any identified victim, and comply with its obligations under the Mandatory Victim Restitution Act of 1996.

5. Sentence to be Determined by the Court

Defendant understands that the sentence to be imposed will be determined solely by the United States District Judge. The United States cannot make and has not made any promise or representation as to what sentence Defendant will receive. Any discussions that the parties might have had about possible sentences are not binding in any way on the Court, and do not constitute representations about what the parties will seek, or what the actual sentence will be.

6. Recommended Sentencing Guidelines Calculations

P. P. B.
 After due consideration of the relevant factors enumerated in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), the United States and Defendant submit that the advisory Guidelines calculations listed below apply to Defendant. However, Defendant acknowledges that the Court is not required to accept those recommended Guidelines calculations:

SENTENCING GUIDELINES CALCULATIONS COUNT ONE Case 19-604 (PAD) 21 U.S.C. §§ 841, 846 and 860									
Base Offense Level pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(14)								12	
Protected location §2D1.2								+2	
Acceptance of Responsibility pursuant to U.S.S.G. §3E1.1								-2	
TOTAL ADJUSTED OFFENSE LEVEL								12	
Sentencing Ranges	TOL	CHC I	CHC II	CHC III	CHC IV	CHC V	CHC VI	Total Offense Level	12
	12	010-016	012-018	015-021	021-027	027-033	030-037		

7. Sentence Recommendation

As to Count One in case 19-604 (PAD) and after due consideration of the relevant factors enumerated in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), both parties will recommend a term of 12 months of imprisonment. As to Count One in case 17-356 (JAG), both parties will recommend 60 months of imprisonment to be served consecutively to Count One in case 19-604 (PAD)

The parties agree that any recommendation by either party for a term of imprisonment below or above the stipulated sentence recommendation will constitute a material breach of the Plea Agreement.

8. No Stipulation as to Criminal History Category

The parties do not stipulate as to any Criminal History Category for Defendant.

9. Waiver of Appeal

Defendant knowingly and voluntarily agrees that, if the imprisonment sentence imposed by the Court is 72 months or less, Defendant waives the right to appeal any aspect of this case's judgment and sentence, including, but not limited to the term of imprisonment or probation, restitution, fines, forfeiture, and the term and conditions of supervised release.

10. No Further Adjustments or Departures

The United States and Defendant agree that no further adjustments or departures to Defendant's total adjusted base offense level and no variant sentence under 18 U.S.C. § 3553—other than any explicitly provided for in this Plea Agreement—shall


be sought by Defendant. The parties agree that any request by Defendant for an adjustment or departure that is not explicitly provided for in this Plea Agreement will be considered a material breach of this Plea Agreement, and the United States will be free to ask for any sentence, either guideline or statutory.

11. Satisfaction with Counsel

Defendant is satisfied with counsel, Jose Gaztambide Aneses, Esq., and asserts that counsel has rendered effective legal assistance.

12. Rights Surrendered by Defendant Through Guilty Plea

Defendant understands that by entering into this Plea Agreement, Defendant surrenders and waives certain rights as detailed in this agreement. Defendant understands that the rights of criminal defendants include the following:

- 
- a. If Defendant had persisted in a plea of not guilty to the charges, Defendant would have had the right to a speedy jury trial with the assistance of counsel. The trial may be conducted by a judge sitting without a jury if Defendant, the United States and the judge agree.
 - b. If a jury trial is conducted, the jury would be composed of twelve lay persons selected at random. Defendant and Defendant's attorney would assist in selecting the jurors by removing prospective jurors for cause where actual bias or other disqualification is shown, or by removing prospective jurors without cause by exercising peremptory challenges. The jury would have to agree, unanimously, before it could return a verdict of either guilty or not guilty. The jury would be instructed that Defendant is presumed innocent, that it could not convict Defendant unless, after hearing all the evidence, it was persuaded of Defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, and that it was to consider each charge separately.
 - c. If a trial is held by the judge without a jury, the judge would find the facts and, after hearing all the evidence and considering each count separately, determine whether or not the evidence established Defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

- d. At a trial, the United States would be required to present its witnesses and other evidence against Defendant. Defendant would be able to confront those witnesses and Defendant's attorney would be able to cross-examine them. In turn, Defendant could present witnesses and other evidence on Defendant's own behalf. If the witnesses for Defendant would not appear voluntarily, Defendant could require their attendance through the subpoena power of the Court.
- e. At a trial, Defendant could rely on the privilege against self-incrimination to decline to testify, and no inference of guilt could be drawn from Defendant's refusal to testify. If Defendant desired to do so, Defendant could testify on Defendant's own behalf.

13. Stipulation of Facts

P.P.B.
67
The accompanying Stipulation of Facts signed by Defendant is hereby incorporated into this Plea Agreement. Defendant adopts the Stipulation of Facts and agrees that the facts therein are accurate in every respect. Defendant agrees and accepts that had the matter proceeded to trial, the United States would have proven those facts beyond a reasonable doubt.

14. Limitations of Plea Agreement

This Plea Agreement binds only the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Puerto Rico and Defendant. It does not bind any other federal district, state, or local authorities.

15. Entirety of Plea Agreement

This written agreement constitutes the complete Plea Agreement between the United States, Defendant, and Defendant's counsel. The United States has made no promises or representations except as set forth in writing in this Plea Agreement and denies the existence of any other terms and conditions not stated herein.

16. Amendments to Plea Agreement

No other promises, terms or conditions will be entered into between the parties unless they are in writing and signed by all parties.

17. Dismissal of Remaining Counts

At sentencing should there be any pending counts and should the Defendant comply with the terms of this Plea Agreement, the United States will move to dismiss the remaining counts.

18. Voluntariness of Plea Agreement

P.P.A.
Defendant acknowledges that no threats have been made against Defendant and that Defendant is pleading guilty freely and voluntarily because Defendant is guilty.

19. Breach and Waiver

Defendant agrees that defendant will have breached this Plea Agreement if, after entering into this Plea Agreement, Defendant: (a) fails to perform or to fulfill completely each and every one of Defendant's obligations under this Plea Agreement; (b) engages in any criminal activity prior to sentencing; or (c) attempts to withdraw Defendant's guilty plea. In the event of such a breach, the United States will be free from its obligation under this Plea Agreement and Defendant will not have the right to withdraw the guilty plea. Moreover, Defendant agrees that if Defendant is in breach of the Plea Agreement, Defendant is deemed to have waived any objection to the reinstatement of any charges under the Indictment, Information, or complaint which may have previously been dismissed or which may have not been previously

prosecuted.

20. Potential Impact on Immigration Status

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(b)(1)(O), Defendant hereby agrees and recognizes that if convicted, a Defendant who is not a United States citizen may be removed from the United States, denied citizenship, and denied admission to the United States in the future.

21. Felony Conviction

P. P. B.
Defendant hereby agrees and recognizes that the plea of guilty in this case will be recognized as a felony conviction, which will result in the loss of certain rights, including but not limited to the right to vote in a federal election, to serve as a juror, to hold public office, and to lawfully possess a firearm.

22. Narcotics Forfeiture Provision

607
Pursuant to this Plea Agreement, the defendant agrees to forfeit to the United States any drug proceeds in United States currency or substitute assets for that amount, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds generated or traceable to the drug trafficking offense in violation of Title 21 U.S.C. §§ 841 and 846. Further, defendant shall forfeit to the United States any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of said violations and any property used, or intended to be used, in any manner or part, to commit, or to facilitate the commission of the said violation including but not limited to a Rock River Arms 5.56/.223 caliber AR rifle with an obliterated number, 252 rounds of ammunition and 14 magazines. The

U.S. v. Pedro Pacheco-Rodriguez 17-356 (JAG), 19-604 (PAD)

P. P. R.
[Signature]

defendant agrees to identify all assets over which the defendant exercises or exercised control, directly or indirectly, within the past three years, or in which the defendant has or had during that time any financial interest. The defendant agrees to take all steps as requested by the United States to obtain from any other parties by any lawful means any records of assets owned at any time by the defendant. Defendant agrees to forfeit to the United States all of the defendant's interests in any asset of a value of more than \$1,000 that, within the last three years, the defendant owned, or in which the defendant maintained an interest, the ownership of which the defendant fails to disclose to the United States in accordance with this agreement. Defendant further agrees to waive all interest in any such asset in any administrative or judicial forfeiture proceeding, whether criminal or civil, state or federal. Defendant agrees to consent to the entry of orders of forfeiture for such property and waives the requirements of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 32.2 and 43(a) regarding notice of the forfeiture in the charging instrument, announcement of the forfeiture at sentencing, and incorporation of the forfeiture in the judgment. Defendant acknowledges that the forfeiture of assets is part of the sentence that may be imposed in this case and waives any failure by the court to advise Defendant of this, pursuant to Rule 11(b)(1)(D), at the time Defendant's guilty plea is accepted.

U.S. v. Pedro Pacheco-Rodriguez 17-356 (JAG), 19-604 (PAD)


Defendant further agrees to waive all constitutional and statutory challenges in any manner (including direct appeal, habeas corpus, or any other means) to any forfeiture carried out in accordance with this Plea Agreement on any grounds, including that the forfeiture constitutes an excessive fine or punishment. Defendant agrees to take all steps as requested by the United States to pass clear title to forfeitable assets to the United States, and to testify truthfully in any judicial forfeiture proceeding. Defendant acknowledges that all property covered by this agreement is subject to forfeiture as proceeds of illegal conduct, giving rise to forfeiture and/or substitute assets for property otherwise subject to forfeiture.


P. P. R.
Defendant, by agreeing to the forfeiture stated above, acknowledges that such forfeiture is not grossly disproportionate to the gravity of the offense conduct to which Defendant is pleading guilty. Defendant agrees that the forfeiture provisions of this Plea Agreement are intended to and will survive Defendant, notwithstanding the abatement of any underlying criminal conviction after the execution of this agreement.


U.S. v. Pedro Pacheco-Rodriguez 17-356 (JAG), 19-604 (PAD)


The forfeitability of any particular property pursuant to this agreement shall be determined as if Defendant had survived, and that determination shall be binding upon Defendant's heirs, successors and assignees until the agreed forfeiture, including any agreed money judgment, is collected in full.

W. STEPHEN MULDROW
United States Attorney


Teresa Zapata Valladares
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Deputy Chief, Gang Section
Dated: 12/15/2021


Pedro R. Casablanca
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Dated: 10 December 2021


Jose Gaztambide Anses
Counsel for Defendant
Dated: 12/21/2021


Pedro Pacheco Rodriguez
Defendant
Dated: 12/21/2021

U.S. v. Pedro Pacheco-Rodriguez 17-356 (JAG), 19-604 (PAD)

UNDERSTANDING OF RIGHTS

I have consulted with counsel and fully understand all of my rights as to the charges pending against me. Further, I have consulted with my attorney and fully understand my rights as to the provisions of the Guidelines that may apply in my case. I have read this Plea Agreement and carefully reviewed every part of it with my attorney. My counsel has translated the Plea Agreement to me in the Spanish language and I have no doubts as to the contents of the agreement. I fully understand this agreement and voluntarily agree to it.

Date:

12/21/2021

Pedro Pacheco Rodriguez.
Pedro Pacheco Rodriguez
Defendant

P.B.B.

I am the attorney for Defendant. I have fully explained Defendant's rights to Defendant with respect to the pending charges. Further, I have reviewed the applicable provisions of the Guidelines and I have fully explained to Defendant the provisions of those Guidelines that may apply in this case. I have carefully reviewed every part of this Plea Agreement with Defendant. I have translated the Plea Agreement and explained it in the Spanish language to the Defendant who has expressed having no doubts as to the contents of the agreement. To my knowledge, Defendant is entering into this Plea Agreement voluntarily, intelligently, and with full knowledge of all consequences of Defendant's plea of guilty.

Date:

12/20/21

Jose Gaztambide Arneses
Jose Gaztambide Arneses
Counsel for Defendant

U.S. v. Pedro Pacheco-Rodriguez 17-356 (JAG), 19-604 (PAD)

STIPULATION OF FACTS

In conjunction with the submission of the accompanying Plea Agreement in this case, the Defendant Pedro Pacheco-Rodriguez admits that Defendant is guilty and admits the following:

From at least in or about the year 2013, and continuing up to and until the return of the instant Indictment, in the Municipality of Ponce, in the District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this Court, Pedro Pacheco-Rodriguez ("Pacheco-Rodriguez") and other persons, did knowingly and intentionally, combine, conspire, and agree with each other and with diverse other persons known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to commit an offense against the United States, that is, to knowingly and intentionally distribute controlled substances, to wit: one (1) kilogram or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of heroin, a Schedule I Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; two hundred and eighty (280) grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base (crack), a Schedule II Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; five (5) kilograms or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, a Schedule II, Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; one hundred (100) Kilograms or more of marijuana, a Schedule I, Controlled Substance; all within one thousand (1,000) feet of the real property comprising the Jose N. Gandara and the Hogares de Portugues Public Housing Projects, a housing facility owned by a public housing authority. The object of the conspiracy was to distribute controlled substances in both housing projects, all for significant financial gain and profit. Defendant Pedro Pacheco-Rodriguez acted as

U.S. v. Pedro Pácheo-Rodríguez 17-356 (JAG), 19-604 (PAD)

a seller for the drug trafficking organization during the span of the conspiracy. While multiple kilograms of heroin, cocaine, cocaine base and marijuana were distributed during the conspiracy, for the sole purpose of this plea agreement, the defendant acknowledges that during the span of the conspiracy he possessed with intent to distribute less than 50 grams of Cocaine.

P.P.R.
62


Furthermore, the defendant acknowledges he knowingly possessed a Rock Rivera Arms 5.56/.223 caliber AR rifle with an obliterated serial number in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime as charged in Count 1 of case 17-356 (JAG). That is, agents from the Police of Puerto Rico conducted a search warrant in apartment # 213 in the Jose N. Gandara Public Housing Project in Ponce, Puerto Rico and found the above described firearm along with rounds of ammunition and magazines.


(PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT IN BLANK)


U.S. v. Pedro Pacheco-Rodriguez 17-356 (JAG), 19-604 (PAD)

At trial, the United States would have proven beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant Pedro Pacheco-Rodriguez is guilty as charged in Count One in case 17-356 (JAG) and Count One in case 19-604 (PAD) by presenting physical and documentary evidence, photographs, audio recordings, testimony of a forensic chemist as an expert witness, cooperating witnesses, as well as the testimony of law enforcement agents among others.

Discovery was timely made available to Defendant for review.

P.R.B.
f.7

Pedro R. Casablanca
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Dated: 10 December 2021


Jose Gaztambide-Ameses, Esq.
Counsel for Defendant
Dated: 12/21/2021


Pedro Pacheco-Rodriguez
Defendant
Dated: 12/21/2021